

2023 CDBG DISASTER RECOVERY PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE AND PUBLIC FACILITIES (PIPF) SCORE SHEET

Per CDBG-Disaster Recovery Program requirements set forth in the Federal Register Notice, no less than 80% of grant funds can be expended in the HUD Most Impacted and Distressed (MID) areas of Oakland County, Macomb County, and Monroe County.

A minimum of one eligible, highest scoring project will be recommended for funding in each of the three HUD identified MID counties.

UGLG Name:	Scorer Name:
Project Name:	Date:

APPLICATION MUST BE COMPLETE. An application is considered complete if:			
	Yes	No	Notes
1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	All questions have been answered
2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	All attachments have been included
3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The application has been signed

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA			
	Yes	No	Notes
1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The UGLG has clearly demonstrated that the proposed project benefits a LMI community or LMI Census tract
2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The grant request is between \$1,000,000 and \$5,000,000
3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The proposed project is in a HUD Most Impacted & Distressed Area (MID) or State MID <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ HUD MID: Oakland County, Macomb County, or Monroe County ▪ State MID: Ingham County or Eaton County
4	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The UGLG has a set of preliminary project plans and specifications

PRIORITIES/SCORING			
	POINTS AVAILABLE	COMMENTS	SCORE
SCORING CRITERIA SECTION 1			
1	Up to 50	Imminent threat/System failures <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Project demonstrates ongoing unmet need that poses imminent threat to the failure of a disaster impacted infrastructure system ▪ Evidence of infrastructure failure (Examples: Damage Assessments, FEMA reports) ▪ The application addresses unmet need and clearly describes the positive impact the project will have on affected residents within the community 	
	Up to 35	Critical Improvements <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Had experienced infrastructure failure but has been secured 	

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Application demonstrates Indirect Impacts such as Impact Reports or Assessments, Public Feedback, or Government issued plans that recommend mitigation 		
	Up to 15	Future Growth/Mitigation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Project is proactive in nature and does not address an immediate need, but encompasses mitigation or resiliency measures for future disasters 		
SCORING CRITERIA SECTION 2				
2	Up to 30	Mitigation: Proposed project will address the fundamental infrastructure system failure that occurred as a direct result of the disaster or failed during the disaster Resilience: Proposed project will reduce damage and adapt to changing conditions for the community to recover quickly from disasters		
SCORING CRITERIA SECTION 3				
3	Up to 10	The service or benefit area of the project is LMI 71% and above = 10 points 66-70%=8 points 61-65%=6 points 56-60%=4 points 51-55%-2 points		
SCORING CRITERIA SECTION 4				
4	Up to 10	The community has leveraged funds <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 10-15%=5 points 16% and above=10 points 		
TOTAL SCORE				