How Less Parking Creates Vibrant Places

Vibrant places have people, but people need to be able to get to them. The single-occupancy automobile is still the primary mode of travel in Michigan. Parking is an essential consideration in a community, but parking policy should support your community’s broader goals, not impede them. In planning any vibrant neighborhood or district in your township, city, or village, community leaders must recognize that building too much parking causes not just placemaking and walkability to suffer, but also stormwater quality, economic resiliency, and efficient delivery of government services.

Instead of primarily relying on privately developed and privately owned parking lots where the size is dictated by the minimum parking space requirements in your zoning ordinance, consider a broader and comprehensive approach to your community’s parking needs, using other tools and controls to limit the negative impacts of too much parking.

Most vibrant places in Michigan rely on some public shared parking. Public parking can range from the complex--large parking decks where parking is charged by the hour--to the simple--free, on street parking. Even the smallest downtowns and neighborhoods can offer on-street parking to provide spaces that do not diminish the walkability of these districts.
Treat parking as a public utility in your downtowns by providing public shared parking strategically and sparingly, in conjunction with restricting private parking through zoning.

Besides offering public parking, other zoning tools can help keep parking spaces from becoming an overwhelming land use:

- Allow reductions in required parking where cooperative parking agreements allow for shared parking among private landowners.
- Reduce or eliminate required parking where public parking is located nearby.
- Eliminate parking minimums altogether, particularly in your walkable districts.
- Consider parking maximums to discourage the construction of unnecessary spaces.
- Insofar as possible, encourage other modes of transportation, such as transit, ride-sharing, and bicycling to enhance options for your community’s commuters and visitors.
- Require surface parking to be located behind buildings to reduce the impact on the street frontage and walkability.

Michigan has many examples of communities implementing these practices, but here are a few:

- **Ann Arbor, Howell, Kalamazoo, Marquette**: exempt certain portions of their downtowns from parking space minimums.
- **Berkley, Traverse City**: exempts sites within 500 feet of public parking from minimum parking standards.
- **Mount Pleasant**: no parking minimums in all districts throughout the city.
- **Marquette and Traverse City**: impose parking maximums for specific uses, such as retail and office.

---

**State laws that may apply:**

- Michigan Vehicle Code, Public Act 300 of 1949
- Michigan Recodified Tax Increment Financing Act, Public Act 57 of 2018

---

**Resources:**

- The American Planning Association at Planning.org
- The National Association of City Transportation Officials at Nacto.org
- The Congress for New Urbanism at Cnu.org
- *High Cost of Free Parking* by Donald Shoup
- Michigan Association of Planning’s Parking Workshop

---
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