A Redevelopment Ready Communities® tool for Michigan communities looking to establish or update a master plan
INTRODUCTION

Redevelopment Ready Communities® Best Practice 1.1 evaluates community planning and how a community’s development vision is embedded in the master plan and other related plans such as the capital improvements plan, downtown plan and corridor plan. Comprehensive planning documents are a community’s guiding framework for growth and investment. The RRC program, based on state legislation and best practices, requires that the master plan is up to date and reflects a community’s desired direction for the future. Michigan law requires that an adopted plan be reviewed at least every five years. This guide was prepared to help communities determine whether a comprehensive plan needs to be updated based on MPEA and RRC requirements and how to review the plan for potential updates. In addition, the RRC best practices require an annual review to keep implementation moving forward. This review could include a report to the local legislative body on implementation progress and future goals and offers a chance to refresh officials and inform new members about the plan and its components.
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Redevelopment Ready Communities® (RRC) supplements Michigan legislation in this guide with recommendations on the master plan review and update to help streamline the process, create better plans, and support better implementation of plans. The Michigan Planning Enabling Act (MPEA) outlines requirements while the RRC program provides recommendations. Meeting the RRC best practice criteria is required in order for a community to become RRC certified. Contact the RRC team or your municipal attorney should there be any confusion on what is required by law and what is required to meet the RRC best practices. Beyond meeting state requirements, updating your community master plan is important for maintaining a community vision that municipal staff and officials can reference during decision-making. Taking a regular look at the master plan can keep staff, officials, and the community on-track for implementation.

**MPEA**

The Michigan Planning Enabling Act (Act 33 of 2008; MPEA) provides the legal basis for the master plan. The act outlines requirements for the preparation, content, public review, adoption and regular review of the plan. Key objectives of a plan as outlined in the act include:

- Create a plan that guides development that is coordinated, adjusted, harmonious, efficient, and economical and that best promotes public health, safety and general welfare;
- Make careful and comprehensive studies of present conditions and future growth with due regard for its relation to neighboring jurisdictions;
- Consult and cooperate with representatives of adjacent local units of government, departments of state and federal governments;
- Address land use and infrastructure issues and make recommendations for physical development;
- At least every five years, review the plan to determine whether to amend or readopt the current plan or adopt a new master plan;

The act also outlines requirements for the process of amending an adopted plan or adopting a new plan.

**RRC**

An updated master plan is essential to articulating the types of development the community desires and the specific areas where the community will concentrate resources. RRC evaluates a plan based on the following:

- The governing body has adopted (or re-adopted) a master plan in the past five years.
- It reflects the community’s desired direction for the future.
- It identifies strategies for priority redevelopment areas.
- It addresses land use and infrastructure, including complete streets elements.
- It includes a zoning plan.
- It incorporates recommendations for implementation, including goals, actions, timelines and responsible parties.
- Progress on the master plan is annually reported to the governing body.
- It is accessible online.
Annual review and report

Communities should **review** their plan annually to ensure staff and elected and appointed officials have an understanding of their planning documents. This background information can help orient new officials and lead to more consistent and supported decision-making. While the planning act requires review at least every five years, communities should annually consider discussion about the plan, conducting a review of progress made, implementation made the previous year, and priorities for the upcoming year. Also if there is an annual update to the capital improvement plan (CIP), relevant master plan recommendations should be reviewed and promoted to the CIP preparers.

The following should be reviewed by staff and the planning commission:

- ✓ Review goals and major recommendations.
  - □ Accomplished
  - □ Still relevant
  - □ High priority of the year

- ✓ Review action table and progress toward completing this year’s priorities.
  Some actions may need to be broken down into more manageable subtasks, or next steps, with responsibilities assigned to different staff or departments.
  - □ Accomplished
  - □ Still relevant
  - □ Task for upcoming year
  - □ Task for future year

  Next steps __________________________________________________________

  Responsibility ______________________________________________________

- ✓ Review prior year’s rezonings and development decisions. Discuss if there are any trends that need to be addressed.
  - Examples: 1. Map rezonings to see if they are located in similar areas and follow the plan’s future land use; 2. Discuss any development proposals where the plan did not provide enough direction to assist in a decision or if the plan did not provide enough flexibility to welcome an opportunity.

- ✓ Identify any potential plan amendments to work on for the upcoming year that can be prepared and adopted then incorporated at a later date when the master plan is updated. This could include:
  - Subarea plans, studies prepared that need to be incorporated in the plan, or planning topics that need to be added or refreshed such as complete streets or placemaking.

- ✓ Identify any zoning ordinance updates to undertake in the coming year.

- ✓ Review the update checklist at the end of this guide to decide whether the plan needs to be “opened up” and officially updated.
Annual review and report continued
This review could be documented as part of the annual report that is required by the MPCA Section 125.3819 so that once the five-year period is over, the interim years’ activities can be summarized when deciding whether the plan needs to be amended. This annual report is intended to be presented to the legislative body and should include the following:

✓ Membership
✓ Number of planning commission meetings
✓ Master plan implementation
✓ Zoning ordinance map and text amendments
✓ Major development reviews (including a brief description, whether it was approved and date of action)
✓ Priorities for upcoming year

Communities have found success in having an annual joint meeting of the governing body and planning commission to summarize the year’s accomplishments and set priorities for the next year. Some communities also include their DDA, ZBA, and other applicable boards and committees. Topics of discussion may include:

✓ Refresh officials on what a master plan is and what the adopted plan entails.
✓ Recap development, projects and progress made in the previous year.
✓ Summarize actions that were completed in the past year and the upcoming year’s action priorities.
✓ Incorporate a presentation on a hot topic (complete streets, RRC, form-based code).
Use the table below to help consider whether your plan needs an overhaul, a refresh, or to add or replace sections of the plan. A refresh is for those that just require minor changes throughout the plan. Section additions or replacements may include new chapters, subareas, the future land use map and/or text, implementation steps, and/or RRC components. The first section of the checklist can be used as a checklist for the annual review and report as described above.

### Update Review Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annual</th>
<th>5-year</th>
<th>CRITERIA</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>COMMENTS/DOCUMENTATION/LINKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Have development patterns changed significantly since the plan was written and adopted?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Does the adopted zoning ordinance align with the goals of the plan?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Have there been any major changes, such as utility lines, major road improvements, large development approvals, etc?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Have there been instances when the planning commission or elected body has departed from the plan?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Are the goals and priorities of the plan in sync with the goals and priorities of appointed and elected officials?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Does the plan address the location and types of land uses frequently requested?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Have there been other studies completed that change the relevancy of the plan?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Have community goals or vision changed since the plan was written?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Are recent best practices integrated? (i.e. Complete Streets, Placemaking, Sustainability, Missing Middle Housing, Local Food)</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Is the background data relevant and reference the most recent decennial census data and up-to-date local data?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Is it user-friendly with clear organization and graphics?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Does it reference goals and objectives for a downtown area?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Is there an implementation plan including a CIP plan?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Are a zoning plan and zoning objectives included?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Is a redevelopment strategy provided?</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>5-year</td>
<td>CRITERIA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>COMMENTS/DOCUMENTATION/LINKS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td>Are priority sites for redevelopment and a strategy for implementation included?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td>Have there been changes along the community borders?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td>Is there upcoming major (re)development (corridor, transportation, university/hospital, utility, vacated sites, or industrial)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td>Do policy and recommendations support a safe, efficient multi-modal transportation system?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td>Do permitted uses support the job market and reflect the local talent pool?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
One provision of the MPEA requires the planning commission to review its current plan at least every five years. At that review, it should be determined whether any amendments are needed or whether the process for a new plan should be started. The act does not require that the entire “coordinated planning” process be followed simply for a review of the plan. No notifications need be made to conduct the review. Instead, the planning commission need only conduct the review and document that fact through the minutes of the review meeting.

Although the five-year review requirement may be considered perfunctory, a necessary “fill in the blank” action, communities should take advantage of this opportunity to thoroughly review the plan and make sure it is still relevant to today’s conditions. A table is provided below to assist in the decision of whether to proceed with an amendment or a complete overhaul. Generally, the goals, objectives and future land use plan should be carefully reviewed to contrast with current development trends as well as any major changes or diversions from the plan that have taken place in order to consider whether the plan needs to be updated.

If, after the review is conducted it is decided that changes are indeed necessary—the process outlined by the planning act must be carefully followed. It may be a good idea to have a joint meeting, public or stakeholder workshops to review the current plan and discuss the level of change needed. If the plan needs an “overhaul,” the process will require a 63-day review period. An update, or “refresh,” requires a 42-day review period. An update is appropriate if most of the plan assumptions and recommendations are still valid and only minor updates or additions are required. Once the plan is updated and adopted, it should be posted on the internet to make it accessible to all online.

### CONSIDERATIONS WHEN UPDATING YOUR PLAN

- A plan is a balance between technical evaluation and public preferences; between fiscal realities and bold ideas.
- Does your plan consider and integrate the current attitudes of the residents and business interests?
- For more information on how best to include public participation as part of your plan update, see the RRC’s public participation guide.
- Keep in mind how the plan will influence the zoning ordinance and other regulations.
- Who has jurisdiction over capital improvements? RRC encourages collaboration across departments in preparing the CIP so make sure to fully engage the engineering or public works department in the planning process.
- Updating census data alone is not reason to open up your plan for an amendment. When updating your plan, think about what data will be most important in influencing planning decisions. Only include data that are applicable to understanding the planning context and recommendations.