

MICHIGAN

STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE

INSTRUCTIONS

FOR THE

APPLICATION FOR

*PA 233 RENEWABLE ENERGY
AND ENERGY STORAGE FACILITY
SITING CONSULTATION FORM*



Public Act 233 requires the applicant for energy siting and storage facilities to consider and address impacts *to the environment and natural resources, including, but not limited to, sensitive habitats and waterways, wetlands and floodplains, wildlife corridors, parks, historic and cultural sites, and threatened or endangered species*. The applicant must submit this information to the Michigan Public Service Commission (MPSC) for consideration in permitting the project. In order to facilitate considerations of historic and cultural sites (hereafter Historic Properties), the MPSC has required applicants to consult with the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO).

If your project has a federal nexus, then you may also be required to consult under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended; wherein federal agencies are required to identify historic properties that may be affected by a proposed undertaking and to avoid, minimize, or mitigate any adverse effects. Section 106 regulations are codified in 36 CFR 800 and are available from the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation website at <http://www.achp.gov/regs-rev04.pdf>. If the project has a federal nexus, please follow the Sec. 106 Application Form.

If you have a question regarding whether your project has federal involvement, please contact the agency requesting consultation.

To Learn More About the Public Act 233...

More information can be found on the MPSC's website:

<https://www.michigan.gov/mpsc/commission/workgroups/2023-energy-legislation/renewable-energy-and-energy-storage-facility-siting>.

BEFORE YOU GET STARTED

Submission of a completed **SHPO Consultation Under PA 233, Renewable Energy and Energy Storage Facility Siting** form with adequate information and attachments constitutes a review request. Please submit one application per project for which a review is requested.

- **The application form is required.** Compliance reports and other documentation submitted for review without an application form will not be reviewed.
- **Answer every question thoroughly.** Sufficient information must be provided to allow SHPO to make an informed decision about a project's potential to affect historic properties. If sufficient information is not provided, review will be delayed until the SHPO receives the required information.
- **Applications and supporting documentation must be complete for review to begin.** The SHPO cannot concur with projects submitted with incomplete or missing information. Supplemental materials submitted for review will not be returned. Review of the project will begin only after all missing information has been received.
- **Please allow for a 45-day response time by the SHPO from the date of receipt of complete information.** To avoid unnecessary delays for your project, provide all information requested and provide any supporting documentation as appropriate.
- **Include email and mailing addresses for each party requiring a copy of our response.** At minimum, SHPO must have mailing and email addresses for the applicant and consultant. SHPO correspondence will be emailed if an email address is provided, a hard copy can be mailed on request. Failure to provide complete, accurate addresses and/or email addresses may delay the response to your submission.
- **The form is a fillable Word document.** The check boxes are clickable. To enter text into the form, click on the appropriate area with blue text. As you type, the boxes will expand. The font is set differently in those text boxes to allow the SHPO reviewer to more easily distinguish the questions from the responses, allowing for a more efficient review. If your text is too long to fit the form, or is cumbersome to insert – such as a table or graphics – indicate in the text box that the information will be provided as an attachment. Please also note the attachment on the *Attachment Checklist* at the end of the form.

PREPARING THE APPLICATION FOR PA 233 SHPO CONSULTATION

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

The Application requests applicants to indicate whether this project is a new submittal or more information on an existing project. Please also indicate whether the project will apply for MPSC permitting or if that is yet to be determined.

a. PROJECT NAME

The project name should be something that briefly, but clearly, provides a summary of the project. Project names should include a statement of action.

Examples:

- Michigan Power Wind Farm Construction
- Johnson Battery BESS System Installation
- Village Solar Array Development.

b. PROJECT LOCATION(S)

COUNTY

Be sure to provide **all** counties included in the project footprint.

PROJECT MUNICIPALITY

The municipality is the city, village, or township where the project is located. The municipal unit is not necessarily the mailing address. For example, if a mailing address lists “Lansing” as the city, yet the project is outside the city limits, then the township is the municipal unit.

PROJECT Location(s)

The application includes an editable table for project location information. If more than one municipality, county, or Township, Range, and Section are within the APE, then please add as many lines as necessary.

If you do not have a single address for the project, list the streets where the project will be located or provide clear verbal boundaries for the street address column.

Examples:

- Michigan Ave between 1st and 10th Streets.
- Union St and Townsend Ave between Main St and Liberty Rd.

For rural projects without addresses and/or extremely large projects, please observe the following example: 14 blocks roughly bounded by Dunkley to the north, Edwards to the east, Paterson to the south, and Church to the west.

TOWNSHIP/RANGE/SECTION OR PRIVATE CLAIM (TRS)

The TRS must be included for all projects with ground disturbance. If you are not using GIS for your project planning, the Public Land Survey Sections can be found in the State of Michigan GIS Open Data at <https://gis-michigan.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/Michigan::public-land-survey-sections> . Each Township and Range grouping must be on a separate row in the table and include corresponding county and municipal unit information.

Example location table:

County	Municipality	Street Address	Township (N/S)	Range (E/W)	Section(s) or Private Claim
Clinton	City of DeWitt/Dewitt Township	North and south sides of Herbison Road between Faiver and Schavey Road	T05N	R02W	S07, 18

II. CONTACT INFORMATION

This section will provide the SHPO with the names of all entities that should receive a copy of our response, as well as the contacts to whom the copies should be directed including mailing addresses and email addresses. We cannot guarantee a copy will go to anyone for whom we are lacking a correct and complete mailing and/or email address.

a. APPLICANT

The applicant is the party applying for MPSC permitting or requesting a review in anticipation of permitting, not a consultant. Complete this section by providing contact information for the party

b. CONSULTANTS

A federally qualified consultant must complete the identification of historic properties portion of the application. Please provide the consultant's information.

Consultants who meet the federal qualifications established in 36 CFR Part 61 and are not currently included on the *Archaeologist/Historian/Architectural Historian Consultant List* should verify whether the SHPO has their qualifications on file prior to submitting their application. If qualifications are not on file, please complete the applicable *Archaeologist/Historian/Architectural Historian Consultant List Update Form* found on the SHPO website at <https://www.miplace.org/historic-preservation/research-resources/consultant-resources/> and include the form with your application packet.

NOTE: Email addresses and/or mailing addresses *must* be included to receive a copy of the SHPO's response letter. Due to the volume of projects we review, the SHPO cannot ensure a copy will go to those whose accurate contact information is not included in the application form.

III. PROJECT INFORMATION

a. PROJECT WORK DESCRIPTION

Provide a detailed description of all work that will be undertaken as part of the project. Include any information about the scope of the work.

Plans and specifications or other materials cannot be substituted for this project work description; however, it may be necessary to include them to fully illustrate the scope and nature of the project. If there are historic properties within your project area, the SHPO will require more detailed project information, including project plans and specifications, to fully assess the effects your project may have on those historic properties.

The work description should include all actions that may impact above or below ground components of the project area. Include information about the project support work, including but not limited to building removals, rehabilitation, new construction, relocations, landscape alteration, tree/vegetation removals, excavations, temporary workspaces, access roads, work site parking, work trailer locations, equipment and materials storage areas, staging, street or sidewalk removal, repair replacement, and the like.

Be explicit in describing the work, for instance if ground disturbing work is occurring, what changes will occur to the landscape as a result? Please remember that SHPO staff are not familiar with your project, so be as specific as possible. When possible, avoid using technical language, or if necessary, define the terminology.

The economic benefits of a project which do not impact historic properties, either architectural, cultural, or archaeological, while important to the project itself, may not be relevant to the section 106 review. If the economic benefit is such that it will result in a change of land use, traffic patterns, public access, etc., and is something which could have an indirect impact on historic properties and should be included. If the economic benefits will be limited and not have an impact, either directly or indirectly, on the physical environment, then it is not necessary to discuss those economic benefits.

b. PROJECT LOCATION AND VICINITY

i. MAPS

All projects must provide a minimum of one map to identify the project location. In most cases, more than one map will be necessary to adequately convey both the project footprint and survey area. Maps need to allow a reviewer who is not familiar with either your project or its location to clearly understand the exact location where the project will take place as well as the extent of the survey area. Street names at the cross streets nearest your project must be visible. If necessary for clarity, separate maps may be submitted for project location and survey, but these must be clearly labeled. At least one (1) map or map inset should be included that shows the location of the project in relation to the rest of the state.

Maps must include a scale, a north arrow, the city(ies)/township(s) and county(ies) contained on the map, and any streets or geographic features that are labeled must be legible.

Projects that have a ground-disturbing component **must** also include figure that shows detail of 7.5' minute USGS topographic quadrangle mapping marked with the project footprint and APE. These maps can be downloaded for free at the USGS Topo View website (<https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/topoview/>). This mapping should be appropriately cropped and zoomed to clearly show the relevant area(s) and project information. Label this graphic with the official USGS map name. See Section IV Archaeology.

Photographs (see below) must also be marked on a map, with the number of the photograph, and an arrow indicating the direction the camera was facing when the photo was taken.

Aerial images may be included as supplemental documents but will not be accepted in place of the maps required above. Hand-drawn maps are not acceptable, unless they are to scale and used to supplement other maps. An example of an acceptable hand-drawn map would be a site map indicating the location of buildings on a rural property. Hand-drawn maps cannot be substituted for required maps.

ii. SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Photographs must provide clear views (i.e., subject of the photograph should not be obscured by shadows, trees, cars, or any other type of obstruction) of the site of the project and the Area of Potential Effects. If the project covers a large area, provide several views. Aerial photographs are not a substitute for ground-level photographs, although they may be submitted as supplemental to those photographs. Key all photographs to your localized map.

Photographs may be color or black and white. Photos should be placed within a PDF document, with clearly labeled captions. Please do not exceed two (2) photographs per page (standard size paper, 8.5 x 11 inches).

If choosing to print and mail hard copy applications, note it is not necessary to use photographic paper when printing digital photos. The printed photos must have a high dpi and clear resolution and should be printed at an appropriate scale to see the content of the photo. Photographs may be printed no more than two per page.

Regardless of hardcopy or digital, submitting grainy, dark, washed out, or blurry photographs will delay review of your project until the SHPO receives clear photographs. **Faxed, photocopied, or Google Street-view photographs are not acceptable.**

iii. and iv. Project Area and Survey Area

The Project Area is the geographic location where the work is occurring, and the survey area is the area where historic properties are (or may be) identified and assessed for possible impacts. Impacts could include, but are not limited to the following: changes to the landscape, physical destruction, visual or audio changes. Some impacts may be temporary, such as construction vibrations, but should still be taken into account when assessing for impacts. The survey area should be informed by the scale and nature of the project.

The archaeological survey areas encompass the areas of ground disturbance; including constructions areas, access roads, and equipment staging. The entire property of a potential energy installation should be archaeologically surveyed. A one-mile research radius is required from the archaeological survey area.

The above-ground (architectural) survey area is more varied, depending on the type of energy siting. For each system permitted under PA 233, the SHPO requires the following:

- a half (0.5)-mile radius from the project location(s) for solar;
- a five (5) -mile radius from the project locations for wind;
- and the surrounding properties for BESS installations.

Any variance to the required survey areas must be made in consultation with SHPO in advance.

iii. DESCRIBE THE PROJECT AREA

Provide a brief narrative description of the project area and survey as they exist now, what types of effects are expected to be caused by the project, and what changes may result from those effects. Identify the boundaries of the survey area. If the survey area for direct impacts differs from the survey for indirect impacts, identify the boundaries of each.

iv. DESCRIBE THE STEPS TAKEN TO DEFINE THE S BOUNDARIES CHOSEN

Provide a written narrative describing the steps that were taken to identify the project area and survey areas; and justify the boundaries chosen.

IV. IDENTIFICATION OF HISTORIC PROPERTIES

For the purposes of this application, the SHPO uses the federal definition of historic properties for the identification of historic and cultural sites. Historic properties are defined as any prehistoric or historic district, site, building, structure, object, or landscape listed in, or eligible for

inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places. Failure to identify historic properties may result in the SHPO requesting additional information and could delay the review of your project.

THE SHPO DOES NOT CONDUCT RESEARCH FOR AGENCIES. Merely checking the National Register for listed properties does not fulfill the requirements to identify properties that are eligible for listing on the National Register.

SHPO requires that identification and evaluation of historic properties be done by professionals who meet the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Standards in the appropriate field. For projects involving ground disturbance, agencies must utilize a federally qualified archaeologist; for projects that have the potential to directly or indirectly affect above-ground historic properties, agencies must utilize a federally qualified architectural historian. The SHPO maintains lists of consultants meeting the federal qualifications on their website at <https://www.miplace.org/historic-preservation/research-resources/consultant-resources/>. **This list is not an endorsement.** When selecting a consultant, we strongly recommend seeking multiple proposals, asking for examples of work, and checking references.

Individuals who meet the federal qualifications established in 36 CFR Part 61 and are not currently included on the *Archaeologist/Historian/Architectural Historian Consultant List* should verify whether the SHPO has their qualifications on file prior to submitting their application. If qualifications are not on file, complete the applicable *Archaeologist/Historian/Architectural Historian Consultant List Update Form* found on the SHPO website at <https://www.miplace.org/historic-preservation/research-resources/consultant-resources/>. If the qualified professional does not wish to be included on our publicly available lists, indicate this on the form. Inclusion on those lists is not mandatory.

36 CFR Part 800.11(a) requires that any determinations under Section 106 be supported by sufficient documentation to enable any reviewing parties to understand its basis. Include copies of any pertinent resources used to identify historic properties. These could include excerpts from survey reports, copies of Sanborn fire insurance maps and other historic mapping, copies of information found in county histories, etc. Any documentation submitted in support of recommendations of eligibility for the National Register of Historic Places must clearly identify the source of the materials.

a. SCOPE OF EFFORT APPLIED

Provide a list of sources consulted for information on historic properties in the project and survey areas (including but not limited to the SHPO office and/or other locations of inventory data). Additional repositories of data could include local historical societies, local libraries, the Library of Michigan, universities, historic mapping, and Tribal Historic Preservation Offices or Tribal Cultural Resources Specialist.

The SHPO maintains an inventory of previously identified architectural properties and districts that have been determined to be eligible for listing in the National Register. The SHPO's State Archaeological Site File is the only comprehensive listing of previously reported archaeological sites and surveys in the state. These resources are available online to qualified professionals. Contact the SHPO Data Manager, Diane Tuinstra: tuinstrad@michigan.gov for instructions on access. Federally qualified archaeologists may submit requests for architectural information as this is publicly available information, but our expectation is that federally qualified architectural historians will make recommendations regarding eligibility for architectural resources. If your project requires both archaeological and architectural records, please coordinate and reduce the number of requests when possible.

Provide information on previously identified historic properties located within your project and survey areas using maps and the appropriate *Michigan SHPO Identification Form*. The map should show the relationships between the direct and indirect APEs and the previously identified historic properties. Include photographs and indicate whether there will be direct or indirect impacts to each of these historic properties.

b. IDENTIFICATION RESULTS

i. ABOVE-GROUND HISTORIC PROPERTIES

PA 233 requires the applicant to make a reasonable and good faith effort to carry out appropriate identification efforts, which could include background research, consultation, oral history interviews, sample field investigation, and field survey. **The SHPO does not conduct research.** Simply checking the National Register for listed properties does not adequately identify properties within your project area and survey areas that are eligible for listing on the National Register. Failure to identify all historic properties for your project may result in the SHPO requesting additional information and could delay the review of your project.

The scope of identification efforts should be based on the scope and size of the project. If you are unsure of the level of identification required for your project, please contact SHPO staff to discuss. Depending on the scope and nature of the project, additional identification efforts may be required after preliminary materials are reviewed by the SHPO.

For PA233 applications an Architectural Reconnaissance Survey meeting the standards of the *Michigan Above-Ground Survey Manual* is required for all reviews. The survey area must be: a half (**0.5**)-mile radius from the project location(s) for **solar**; a **five (5) -mile radius** from the project locations for **wind**; and the **surrounding properties** for **BESS** installations. any variance must be made in consultation with SHPO in advance

A . Total Number of Properties Surveyed

Please note the number of properties inventoried.

B. Total number of previously identified historic properties in your survey areas:

Note the number of previously identified properties in the survey area. Provided an updated recommendation of edibility for these resources.

C. Total number of newly identified historic properties recommended eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places:

Note the number of properties newly identified historic properties, including individual sites and districts.

D. Summarize briefly your findings on above-ground resources:

Summarize your findings. Alert SHPO to any parts of the survey you specifically want to call attention to.

E. Michigan SHPO Identification Forms

As part of your application package, complete the appropriate *Michigan SHPO Identification Form* for each resource over 50 years of age. This includes at a minimum the following information: address, municipality, county, property type, photograph, architectural information (when available for buildings), eligibility recommendation, integrity, survey date/recorder, architectural description, and statement of significance. The architectural description should be a brief description of the building, structure, or object. Provide information on existing materials, outbuildings, building form and style, as applicable. The Statement of Significance should

include a description of the reasons the property is or is not eligible for inclusion in the National Register, based on the National Register criteria.

If the survey area contains an eligible historic district complete a *Michigan SHPO Architectural District/Complex Identification Form* including a description of the district, map or aerial photograph with boundaries, district type, eligibility, integrity, survey date/recorder, statement of significance, and list of historic resources. In this case, do not complete an individual form for each property.

If your survey area contains a landscape, use the *Michigan SHPO Cultural Landscape Identification Form* to access the significance of the landscape and associated features.

If your survey area has a large (more than 25) number of previously unidentified resources consult with SHPO staff prior to completing identification forms to develop a plan appropriate to the scope of work.

If your research in the SHPO files returned properties that were in the survey and had missing information, we expect that you will provide the missing materials. For example, if the materials have not been identified, or if the exterior materials have changed, please update the form before resubmitting it. If the current National Register Status indicates that more information is needed, please provide additional information to support your recommendation of eligibility. If there is no image of the property, please provide one. It is not acceptable to use Google Streetview images as the only source; the SHPO requires current information to make decisions. It is expected that the materials submitted to the SHPO for Section 106 review will not simply be a regurgitation of the materials collected from our office during the research phase.

APPLYING THE NATIONAL REGISTER CRITERIA

For each identified resource, include a recommendation for eligibility by applying the National Register Criteria for Evaluation found in *National Register Bulletin 15: How to Apply the National Register Criteria for Evaluation* on the National Park Service website.

(<https://www.nps.gov/subjects/nationalregister/index.htm>) All identification forms must include a brief statement from the qualified professional recommending the whether the property is eligible or not for the National Register, including which of the criteria have been met or not and how they are applicable or not.

F. Provide the name and qualifications of the person who made recommendations.

Please note the qualified professional who made the recommendations and if their qualifications are on record with the SHPO.

ii. ARCHAEOLOGY

An archaeological assessment and Phase I survey is required for all project reviews. The assessment and survey must meet SHPO Archaeological Standards and encompass all areas of ground disturbance, including construction sites, access roads, laydown areas, equipment storage, etc.

A. Indicate the level of reporting. An *Archaeological Assessment* considers the sensitivity of the APE and includes a recommendation on whether or not further survey work is required. This is based on environmental context, as well as historic and prehistoric contexts that are localized to the project area. An *Archaeological Report* also includes the aforementioned contexts and details the results of an archaeological survey. **Ideally, SHPO would like to review the Archaeological Assessment in advance of the Archaeological Survey.**

B. Describe width(s), length(s), and depth(s) of proposed ground disturbance(s). This may be presented in a table if multiple activities require varying disturbance. Include permanent, temporary (e.g. workspaces or staging areas), and minimal disturbance.

C. Considering the background research, the overall environmental context, and the proposed scope of work, assess the project's potential (low, moderate, or high) to adversely impact significant archaeological resources, both previously reported and yet undiscovered. This is your research-based opinion. For moderate and high assessments, briefly justify whether archaeological fieldwork is recommended. If fieldwork is recommended, briefly describe what is prescribed (e.g. pedestrian and/or shovel test survey, deep testing, or monitoring). Note that if an adequate survey is conducted, rarely do we support additional monitoring (an exception may be reported human remains in the immediate area).

Note that archaeological fieldwork is not required for every project, nor is it appropriate for many projects. The need for fieldwork, typically survey, should be determined by the qualified consultation in consultation with SHPO. The archaeological consultant contributing to this form will produce the baseline information to inform these decisions; the consultant cannot require survey.

D. As specified in the mapping section, remember to attach a 7.5' USGS topographic quadrangle map showing both the direct and indirect effect project area boundaries, the contextual research radius employed, and the boundaries of any associated previously reported archaeological sites and surveys. Label the graphic Archaeological Sensitivity Map (Not for Public Distribution) and caption with the official quadrangle map name(s). Appropriate base maps can be downloaded free from the USGS TopoView website; alternative base maps (e.g. street maps or aerials) may not be substituted but may be included as supplemental. This map should be appropriately cropped and zoomed to clearly show the relevant area(s). This map demonstrates the spatial relationships between the project, reported archaeological sites and surveys, and the larger environmental context, and is the main visual exhibit supporting the related recommendation of impact.

E. Briefly summarize previously reported archaeological sites and surveys in the project area. This will require, at a minimum, reviewing the Michigan Archaeological Site Files within SHPO. Summarize any previously reported archaeological sites and surveys in the project area; this information may be presented in a table. This summary will key directly to the Archaeological Sensitivity Map.

F. Briefly summarize the past and present land use of the project area (any relevant supporting documentation should be submitted as attachments). This research effort should be proportional to the scope of work. This helps us assess the potential for impacting historically referenced properties that could retain significant archaeological signatures and the horizontal and vertical extent of prior soil disturbance.

G. Sometimes fieldwork is conducted for a new project prior to the initiation of SHPO consultation (e.g. some telecommunications projects or when otherwise required by a federal agency). If this is the case, check Yes, provide the full report reference on the form, and attach both a standalone color hardcopy and a consolidated/single PDF (on USB drive or CD) of the new report for review and file.

H. Unless this individual already appears on our qualified consultants list, attach a SHPO archaeological qualifications form and resume for this consultant. SHPO staff may refer to this documentation but note that federal agencies are responsible for assuring the use of qualified consultants.

NOTE: Archaeological site locations are legally protected. This application may not be made public without first redacting sensitive archaeological information

V. TRIBAL ENGAGEMENT

MPSC permitting guidance requires the applicant contact Tribes that may have an interest in the project area. For historic properties consultation specifically, contact the Tribal Historic Preservation Officer or cultural official. Do not simply contact the Tribal government offices.

a. Provide summary of tribal consultation.

Tribes have invaluable knowledge of Michigan's cultural resources, their input is critical to determining impacts to historic properties. Please summarize tribes contacted and their responses to date.

VI. Public Engagement

Historic preservation is a highly localized concern, provide a summary of the public engagement and any information gathered on historic properties from the public.

VII. Recommendation of Impact

Please have the qualified cultural professionals make a recommendation for the projects impact to historic properties. If mitigation measures are necessary, include mitigation recommendations. Please consider such items as direct impacts construction, auditory, visual, and other impacts. This gives SHPO staff an opportunity to concur with the findings and build on recommendations made.

a. Provide a basis for the recommendations.

Examples of adverse impacts include, but are not limited to:

- Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property;
- Alteration of a property that **is not** consistent with the Secretary's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (36 CFR Part 68) and applicable guidelines;
- Removal of the property from its historic location;
- Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance;
- Introduction of visual, atmospheric, or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features;
- Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization; and

Recommendation

Select the appropriate recommendation and provide required justification or documentation.

- For a determination of no impacts to historic properties in which there are either no historic properties present, or no historic properties affected, include the basis for this determination.
- For a determination of no adverse impact explain why the historic properties present would not be negatively impacted and include any conditions to avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse impacts.
- For a determination of adverse impact explain why historic properties would be adversely impacted and include any conditions to avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse effects.
- For projects where the federally qualified professional has recommended archaeological or architectural survey(s), and survey has not yet been conducted, a determination of The applicant responsible for carrying the information forward to the MPSC must sign the form to demonstrate acknowledgement of the findings for SHPO's review.

ATTACHMENT CHECKLIST

The attachment checklist is provided as a quick reference to ensure that all of the expected attachments are included with the submission. It will also allow the SHPO reviewer to confirm that all intended maps, qualifications, reports, etc., have been received.

ONLINE SUBMISSION

To submit, visit our [online portal](#), and follow the instructions. In the online portal, enter "N/A" for any question that asks about a Federal Agency.

CONTACT THE SHPO

300 North Washington Square

Lansing MI 48913

517-335-9840

preservation@michigan.gov

Michigan.gov/SHPO

THIS APPLICATION AND INSTRUCTIONS ARE SUBJECT TO UPDATES, PLEASE CHECK OUR WEBSITE FREQUENTLY FOR THE LATEST VERSION.

Updated 2/13/25